

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

- Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/856,846	05/15/97	BRYAN		٧	F1147USA	_
_		QM31/1208	$\neg$		EXAMINER	
TODD S PARKHURST HILL& SIMPSON			•	NGUYEN, T		
	08/856,846 - TODD S PAF	08/856,846 05/15/97 - TODD S PARKHURST	08/856,846 05/15/97 BRYAN @M31/1208 TODD S PARKHURST	08/856,846 05/15/97 BRYAN  — QM31/1208 TODD S PARKHURST	08/856,846 05/15/97 BRYAN V - QM31/1208 7 TODD S PARKHURST NGUY	08/856,846 05/15/97 BRYAN V F1147USA  OM31/1208 TODD S FARKHURST NGUYEN,T

HILL& SIMPSON
A PROFESSIONAL COROPORATION
SSTH FLOOR SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO IL 60606

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3738

DATE MAILED:

12/08/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/856,846** 

Applicant(s)

BRYAN et al.

Examiner

Tram Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3738



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 8, 1998	
★ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirit longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p	priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
<ul><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li></ul>	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DESICE ACTION ON THE EC	DULOWING BACES

Serial Number: 08/856,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 3308

#### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

The person who has signed the disclaimer has not stated the extent of his/her interest, or the business entity's interest, in the application/patent. See 37 CFR 1.321(b)(3).

#### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 42 and 43 of U.S. Patent No. 5,674,296. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are claiming all of the same elements, only in different combinations. To omit an element of the prosthesis is deemed an obvious variation.

Serial Number: 08/856,846 Page 3

Art Unit: 3308

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Frey et al. (USPN 4,932,969).

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

. 🏋

Serial Number: 08/856,846 Page 4

Art Unit: 3308

7. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frey et al. in view of Bainville et al. Frey et al do not show the gasket surrounding the central body. Bainville shows an intervertebral disk prosthesis comprising a compression cushion enveloped between two metal half-envelopes and further comprising a membrane or diaphragm surrounding the cushion in order to insure a seal between the cushion and the environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a membrane or diaphragm (i.e., a gasket) to surround Frey's central body in view of Bainville et al in order to protect against expulsion of the central member. For claim 4, refer to Bainville et al, col. 4, lines 45-53.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Serial Number: 08/856,846

Art Unit: 3308

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tram Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0804. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached at (703) 308-2672. The fax phone number for this group

is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceedings

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 5

New TAN

December 7, 1998